

# Gaussian model and Ginzburg criterion

①

Let's only keep the quadratic term of the Landau free energy at  $T > T_c$ .

$$Z = \int Dm e^{-F(m)}, \text{ where } F(m) = \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\gamma}{2} (\nabla m)^2 + \frac{\alpha(T)}{2} m^2 - m(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(m) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} m(\mathbf{k}) m(-\mathbf{k}) \left[ \frac{\gamma}{2} k^2 + \frac{\alpha(T)}{2} \right] - m(\mathbf{k}) h(-\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( m(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{h(\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha} \right) \left( m(-\mathbf{k}) - \frac{h(-\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha} \right) \left[ \frac{\gamma}{2} k^2 + \frac{\alpha(T)}{2} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(\mathbf{k}) h(-\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

denote  $m'(\mathbf{k}) = m(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{h(\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}$ . Because ~~met~~  $m$  and  $h$  are real

$$\begin{aligned} \text{field, } \Rightarrow m(\mathbf{k}) &= m^*(-\mathbf{k}), \Rightarrow \sum_{\mathbf{k}} m'(\mathbf{k}) m'(-\mathbf{k}) \frac{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}{2} = \sum'_{\mathbf{k}} m^*(\mathbf{k}) m(\mathbf{k}) (\gamma k^2 + \alpha) \\ &= \sum'_{\mathbf{k}} [Re(m(\mathbf{k}))]^2 (\gamma k^2 + \alpha) + \sum'_{\mathbf{k}} Im m(\mathbf{k}) (\gamma k^2 + \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian integral  $\sum'$  means summation over half of momentum space.

$$\begin{aligned} \int Dm e^{-F(m,h)} &= e^{-\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(\mathbf{k}) h(-\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}} \prod'_{\mathbf{k}} \int dRe m'(\mathbf{k}) \int dIm m'(\mathbf{k}) e^{-Re(m'(\mathbf{k}))^2 (\gamma k^2 + \alpha)} \\ &= e^{-\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(\mathbf{k}) h(-\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}} \prod'_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}} \\ &= \text{Const. } e^{-\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(\mathbf{k}) h(-\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}} \prod'_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha}} \\ \Rightarrow \ln Z &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \ln(\gamma k^2 + \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{h(\mathbf{k}) h(-\mathbf{k})}{\gamma k^2 + \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Set } h=0, \frac{F}{V} = -\frac{1}{V\beta} \ln Z = \frac{k_B T}{2} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(\gamma k^2 + \alpha)$$

$$\text{since } \alpha \propto t \Rightarrow \frac{C}{V} = -T \frac{\partial F}{\partial T} \propto \int_0^\Lambda \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(\gamma k^2 + \alpha)^2}$$

Λ is the momentum space cut off.

This integral has two possible divergence

- ①  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  if so, ultra-violet
- ②  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  if so infrared.

① if  $d > 4$ , at  $\alpha \propto t \rightarrow 0$ , there's no infrared divergence.

We have ultra-violet divergence, but this divergence is not related to phase transition.

② if  $d < 4$ , as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , there's infrared divergence.

But as  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , no divergence.

③ if  $d = 4$ , we have logarithmic divergence as both  $t \rightarrow 0$

and  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

check case ②

$$\int_0^\Lambda \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(\gamma k^2 + \alpha)^2} = \int_0^\Lambda \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k^{d-1}}{\alpha^2 \left[ \left( \frac{k}{\sqrt{\gamma \alpha}} \right)^2 + 1 \right]} \propto t^{\frac{d-4}{2}} \int_0^{\Lambda'} ds \frac{s^{d-1}}{(s^2 + 1)^2}$$

with  $\Lambda' = \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{\alpha_0 t}}$

$$\text{and } \alpha = \alpha_0 t$$

⇒ at  $d < 4$ , we can extra the

infrared divergence

$$\frac{C}{V} \propto \text{const.} + t^{\frac{d-4}{2}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\alpha = \frac{4-d}{2}}$$

check case ①: as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{C}{V} \propto \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)} \frac{1}{\delta^2 k^4} \propto \Lambda\text{-dependent const.}$

check case ③:  $\frac{C}{V} \propto \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{k^3 dk}{(k^2 + \alpha/\delta)^2} \propto \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{k^2 dk}{(k^2 + \alpha/\delta)^2}$  set  $y = k^2 + \alpha/\delta$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{C}{V} \propto \int_{\alpha/\delta}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{(y - \alpha/\delta) dy}{y^2} \sim \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\alpha/\delta} \sim \text{ultra-violet const} + \ln \frac{1}{t}.$$

The above result shows that we cannot neglect the Gaussian fluctuation at  $d < 4$ , and we also need to be careful at  $d=4$ . At  $d>4$ , the ultra-violet divergence does not affect the phase transition.

## \* Ginzburg criterion

The GL theory fails when the fluctuations is strong.  
 mean field

Define  $\langle \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int_S dr m(r)$ . The integral over the size of correlation length  $\xi$ .

$$\text{The long range order } \bar{m} = \frac{1}{V} \langle \int dr m(r) \rangle = \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta} |t|$$

$$\text{define } \frac{\frac{1}{S^d} \int dr \left\{ \langle m(0) m(r) \rangle - \bar{m}^2 \right\}}{\bar{m}^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{S^d} \int dr G(r)}{\bar{m}^2} = E_{GL}$$

which is a characteristic quantity to judge the fluctuation effect

$$\text{The denominator: } \begin{cases} \bar{m}^2 = \frac{-\alpha(T)}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta} |t| \\ \xi^2(t) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_0} |t|^{-1} = \xi^2(1) |t|^{-1} \end{cases}$$

where  $\xi(1)$  is the correlation length far away from the critical region. ( $\xi(1) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha_0}}$ ).

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\text{denominator } \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta} \xi^2(1) |t|^{1-d/2}}$$

The numerator

$$\int dr G(r) \simeq k_B T \chi_T \simeq k_B T_c \frac{1}{4\alpha_0 |t|}$$

$$E_{GL} = \frac{k_B T_c}{4\alpha_0 |t|} \frac{\beta}{\alpha_0 \xi^d(1) |t|^{1-d/2}} = \frac{k_B}{4\Delta C \xi^d(1)} \frac{1}{|t|^{2-d/2}} = \frac{k_B |t|^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{4\Delta C \xi^d(1)}$$

where  $\Delta C = \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\beta} T_c$  is the mean field specific heat jump at the transition. If  $E_{GL} \ll 1$ , then the GL theory is self-consistent, otherwise, the GL theory breaks down and we enter the critical fluctuation regime.

① At  $d > 4$ ,  $E_{GL} \sim |t|^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \ll 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Landau-Ginsburg theory are qualitatively correct.

② At  $d < 4$ ,  $E_{GL} \sim |t|^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \gg 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . The mean-field theory

breaks down at  $E_{GL} \approx 1$ , i.e.  $|t|^{-\frac{d}{2}+2} = \frac{k_B}{4\Delta C \xi^d(1)}$

$$\text{i.e. at } |t| < |t_c| = \left[ \frac{k_B}{4\Delta C \xi^d(1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2-\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

we enter the critical region.

③  $d=4$  is the marginal case.

There exists a upper critical dimension  $d_c = 4$  for the above analysis, such that at  $d > d_c$ , the quartic term is not important for the critical phenomena. Of course, i.e. interaction we need quartic term to spontaneously break the symmetry!

\* In the above reasoning, we have use the mean field values of critical exponents  $\beta = 1/2$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\nu = 1/2$ . However, for certain mean field transitions whose  $\gamma, \beta, \nu$  have different values, we need modify as follows:

$$\int_V d^d r G(r) \sim k_B T \chi_T \sim |t|^{-\gamma}$$

$$\oint d\vec{m}^2 \sim \oint d|t|^{2\beta} \sim |t|^{2\beta - \nu d}$$

Suppressing numerical coefficient, we need  $|t|^{-\gamma} \ll |t|^{2\beta - \nu d}$

to justify GL mean field theory:  $-\gamma > 2\beta - \nu d$

$$\Rightarrow d > \frac{2\beta + \gamma}{\nu} \triangleq d_c$$