

(1)

Lecture 3 The birth of Matrix mechanics

Born, Jordan 1925

Dirac, P A M 1925

$$: : [P, X] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \leftarrow \text{Born's tombstone}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [x, H] \\ \dot{p} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [p, H] \end{aligned} \quad \left. \right\} \text{Born and Jordan}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [x, p] \leftrightarrow \{x, p\}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [f_1, f_2] \leftrightarrow \{f_1, f_2\} \leftarrow \text{Dirac canonical quantization}$$

(1)

§1 Hermitian matrix \longleftrightarrow mechanical quantity

Post 1: Coordinate and momentum should be represented as matrix $P_{mn} e^{-i\omega_m t}$, $X_{mn} e^{-i\omega_m t}$.

When we define matrix product, say $(X P)_{mn} e^{-i\omega_m t}$

$$= \sum_k X_{mk} P_{kn} e^{-i(\omega_{m+k} + \omega_{k+n})t}$$

Hence, Post 1 really relies on the Ritz combination rule.

(X, P) forms the complete set of mechanical observables, $OCP, X)$ in principle can be expressed power series of write down X and P . We the classic expression

$$O = \sum_l O_n(l) e^{il\omega_n t},$$

The correspondence between classic and quantum version

$$O_n(l) e^{il\omega_n t} \leftrightarrow O_{n-l, n} e^{-i\omega_{n-l} t} \begin{array}{l} \text{向下兼容} \\ \text{注: 规定} \end{array}$$

for $l > 0$

$$\text{but } O_{n+l, n} e^{il\omega_{n+l} t} \\ = O_{n, n+l}^* (e^{-i\omega_{n+l} t})^*$$

(2)

Post 2. frequency recombination

$$\omega_{j \leftarrow k} + \omega_{k \leftarrow l} + \omega_{l \leftarrow j} = 0$$

At this stage, we can relate $\hbar \omega_{m \leftarrow n} = \omega_n - \omega_m$.

But whether ω is energy or not, we will need to prove.

Post 3. Hamiltonian Eq is the same as before, but should be interpreted in terms of the matrix language.

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q) \quad \text{Dynamical rules are the same}$$

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$$

but the interpretation of kinematics (meaning of q and p) needs to be redone.

§ Establishment of the canonical commutation rule

Post 4: The diagonal matrix elements of

$$(px - xp)_{nn} = \hbar/i.$$

We cannot prove, but show its equivalent to the f-sum rule.

$$(px - xp)_{nn} = m (\dot{x}x - x\dot{x})_{nn} = m \sum_k \dot{x}_n x_{kn} - x_{nk} \dot{x}_{kn}$$

$$\dot{x}_{nk} = -i\omega_{n \leftarrow k} x_{nk}, \quad \dot{x}_{kn} = -i\omega_{k \leftarrow n} x_{kn}.$$

$$(px - xp)_{nn} = -i \sum_k \omega_{n \leftarrow k} x_n x_{kn} - \omega_{k \leftarrow n} x_{nk} x_{kn}$$

① Set $k = n + l$, for $l > 0$

$$m \sum_{l>0} -i\omega_{n \leftarrow n+l} |x_{n,n+l}|^2 + i\omega_{n+l \leftarrow n} |x_{n+l,n}|^2$$

$$= -2mi \sum_{l>0} \omega_{n \leftarrow n+l} |x_{n+l,n}|^2$$

② if $l < 0$, then $k = n - |l|$,

$$(px - xp)_{nn} = -2im \sum_l \omega_{n \leftarrow n-|l|} x_{n,n-|l|}, x_{n-|l|,n}$$

(4)

$$= 2im \sum w_{n-l \leftarrow n} |x_{n, n-l \leftarrow l}|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (px - xp)_{nn} = 2im \sum_{l>0} w_{n \leftarrow n+l} \left[|x_{n+l, n}|^2 - w_{n-l \leftarrow n} |x_{n, n-l}|^2 \right]$$

by using the f-sum rule

$$(px - xp)_{nn} = 2im \frac{\hbar}{2m} = \hbar/i.$$

Hence, we show that Heisenberg's quantization rule

is equivalent to the diagonal matrix element $[p, x] = \hbar/i$.

* Jordan's contribution: proof of $\frac{d}{dt} ([p, x])_{m,n} = 0$

if $m \neq n$.

Basically, Jordan proved $\frac{d}{dt} ([p, x])_{m,n} = 0$, if the off diagonal matrix element is non-zero, then it

would have time dependence $[p, x]_{mn} e^{-i\omega m t}$.

We consider a simple case that $H = H_1(p) + H_2(x)$

Define $g = \frac{i}{\hbar} [p, x]$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{dg}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\dot{p}x + p\dot{x} - \dot{x}p - x\dot{p}]$$

$$\dot{g} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(- \frac{\partial H_2(x)}{\partial x} x + x \frac{\partial H_2(x)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_1(p)}{\partial p} p + p \frac{\partial H_1(p)}{\partial p} \right) \quad (5)$$

Since H_2 only depends on x , we have $x \frac{\partial H_2(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial H_2(x)}{\partial x} x$,

then $\dot{g} = 0$, then the off-diagonal matrix element

of $g_{m,n} = 0 \Rightarrow g = 1$, i.e. $[p, x] = \frac{i\hbar}{2}$

If H contains term mixing p, x , the proof is more complicated. Nevertheless, it can be done. We will not show the details here.

* With canonical commutation rule, we can express

$$\dot{p} = \frac{i}{i\hbar} [p, H], \quad \dot{x} = \frac{i}{i\hbar} [x, H]$$

Again for simplicity, we prove the case $H = H_1(p) + H_2(x)$.

check $\dot{p} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_2(x)$, assume $H_2(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{p} = - \sum_n a_n n x^{n-1}.$$

$$\text{It's easy to show } [p, x^n] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i [p, x] x^{n-i-1}$$

$$= -i\hbar x^{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{p} = \frac{i}{i\hbar} [p, H].$$

Similarly, we have $\dot{x} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [x, H]$.

If $\dot{g}_1 = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [g_1, H]$, $\dot{g}_2 = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [g_2, H]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(g_1 g_2) &= \dot{g}_1 g_2 + g_1 \dot{g}_2 = \frac{1}{i\hbar} ([g_1, H] g_2 + g_1 [g_2, H]) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [g_1 g_2, H]\end{aligned}$$

Since O in principle could be expanded in terms of power series of P, x , then $\dot{O} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [O, H]$.

* if $H(p, x)$ does not depend on t explicitly, then

$\frac{d}{dt} H = 0$. $\Rightarrow H$ is diagonal since its off-diagonal matrix elements are time-dependent if it is non-zero.

Post 5: $H_{nn} = E_n$, i.e. the diagonal matrix elements

of H is the energy of the stationary state E_n .

$$\therefore \ddot{O} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [O, H], \quad \dot{O}_{mn} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (O_{mn} H_{nn} - H_{mm} O_{mn})$$

$$-i\omega_{m \leftarrow n} O_{mn} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} O_{mn} (E_n - E_m)$$

$\hbar \omega_{m \leftarrow n} = E_n - E_m$

{ Canonical quantization }

$$\{f_1, f_2\}_{p,x} = - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial p}$$

Poisson bracket does not depend on the choice of coordinate and momentum. We can also use (J, Θ) to define Poisson bracket $\{f_1, f_2\} = \{f_1, f_2\}_{p,x} = \{f_1, f_2\}_{J,\Theta} = - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial J} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \Theta} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \Theta} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial J}$

Classical mechanics

$$\dot{p} = \{p, H\}$$

$$\dot{q} = \{q, H\}$$

QM

$$\dot{p} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [p, H]$$

$$\dot{q} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [q, H]$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Conjecture } \{ \quad \} \rightarrow \frac{i}{\hbar} [\quad].$$

We will use coordinate and momentum to check the correspondence between $\{ \quad \}$ and $\frac{i}{\hbar} [\quad]$.

Consider the matrix element $[p, x]_{n,n-l}$ where n corresponds to the classic action $J=n\hbar$. The classic angular variable

$$\Theta = \omega_n t \text{ and } \omega_n = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial J} \right|_{J=n\hbar}. \text{ At } n \rightarrow +\infty, n \gg l, h$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{\hbar} [p, x]_{n,n-l} e^{-i\omega_{n-l} n-l t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial J} (P_{n-l,n-k} e^{-i\omega_{n-l} n-l t}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} (X_{n-k,n-e}^e) \\ &- \frac{\partial}{\partial J} (X_{n,n-(l-k)} e^{-i\omega_{n-l} n-l t}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} (P_{n-(l-k),n-e} e^{-i\omega_{n-l} n-l t}) \end{aligned}$$

(8)

We use the following identity: we suppress the time dependence

$$[P, X]_{n,n-l} = \sum_k (P_{n,n-k} - P_{n-(l-k), n-l}) X_{n-k, n-l} - (X_{n, n-(l-k)} - X_{n-k, n-l}) P_{n-(l-k), n-l}$$

$$= \hbar(l-k) \frac{\partial}{\partial J} P_{n,n-k} X_{n-k, n-l} - \hbar k \frac{\partial}{\partial J} X_{n, n-(l-k)} P_{n-(l-k), n-l}$$

Then according $O_n(l) e^{ilw_n t} \leftrightarrow O_{n-l, n} e^{-i w_{n-l} n t}$

$\lambda > 0$

$$O_{n(-l)} \leftrightarrow O_{n, n-l} e^{-i w_{n-l} n t}$$

$$\sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial J} P_{n-k}$$

if $k > 0 \quad P_{n, n-k} \rightarrow P_n(-k)$

$k < 0 \quad P_{n, n-k} = P_{n, n+|k|} \rightarrow P_{n-k}(-k) \sim P_n(-k)$

$X_{n-k, n-l} \rightarrow X_n(-l+k) \xrightarrow{\downarrow}$ the difference between two index
sum over the left and right index and then
take the leading order

$$X_{n, n-(l-k)} \rightarrow X_n(-l+k)$$

$$P_{n-(l-k), n-l} \rightarrow P_n(-k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_k \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial J} P_n(-k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} X_n(-l+k) - \frac{\partial}{\partial J} X_n(-l+k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_n(-k) \right] e^{ilw_n t}$$

$$= O_n(-l) e^{ilw_n t}$$

where $O = \frac{\partial}{\partial J} P \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} X - \frac{\partial}{\partial J} X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P = -\{P, X\}$

In principle, the above process applies to an arbitrary f_1, f_2 . ⑨

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} [f_1, f_2] \right)_{n,n-l} \rightarrow \{f_1, f_2\}_n (-l) e^{ilw_n t}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{1}{i\hbar} [f_1, f_2] \leftarrow \{f_1, f_2\}$$

This provides a systematic way \rightarrow for quantization

— Canonical quantization!